

# The Views of PHEIs on the Accreditation Practice in Ethiopia

# by Belay Tefera (PhD) and Wondwossen Tamirat (Asso. Prof)

A paper peresented at the first national conference on private higher education in Ethiopia

Organized and sponsored by St. Mary's College

July 26, 2003, Sheraton Addis, Addis Ababa

# The Views of PHEIs on the Accreditation Practice in Ethiopia

Belay Tefera\* and Wondwossen Tamirat\*\*

\*PhD, Addis Ababa University and \*\* Assistant Prof., St. Mary's College

#### Abstract

Accreditation of Private Higher Education Institutions is a worldwide practice undertaken in order to ensure quality and institutional integrity through a comprehensive review process. The benefits that this practice has by way of providing an assurance of quality to students, parents and the public at large is well-established. However, the manner in which it is conducted, the methods and principles of accreditation used, and the processes through which an institution must pass before being accredited could be different from one country to another. This paper purports to explore worldwide practices with a specific focus on the accreditation process in Ethiopia. With regard to the Ethiopian scenario, an attempt has been made to gauge the attitudes of high-ranking officials in PHEIs about the current practice of accreditation. The results obtained are discussed and suggestions are forwarded in light with the major elements identified.

# 1. Purpose and Research Questions of the Study

The major purpose of this survey is to determine the views of higher officials in Ethiopian private colleges regarding the processes and practices of accreditation. It, more specifically, focuses on the following questions.

- 1. Is accreditation generally viewed as an important task a private college should go through?
- 2. How should accreditation be conducted to be effective?
  - 1 Is pre-accreditation beneficial?
  - 2 Should accreditation be voluntary or otherwise?
  - 3 Should accreditation be enhancement-oriented or control-oriented?
- 3. How do the higher officials generally view accreditation practices currently put in place by the Ministry of Education?
  - What strengths do they observe?
  - What are the problems observed? and
  - What should be done to improve the accreditation process?

### 2. Research Methodology

#### 2.1 Sampling Techniques

The survey questionnaire was administered to higher officials in 19 different private colleges. Five questionnaires were originally sent to each of these colleges. The name of these colleges, their status of accreditation, year of establishment, and the number of completed and returned questionnaires are generally summarized and presented on Appendix 2

Note that the average age of the private colleges is 2.81 years, 54% are accredited (and the rest 46% are not) and that the total completed and returned questionnaires was 59. Table 1 presents the position held by respondents in their respective colleges.

Position	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Deans/Presidents	16	27.1	27.1
Instructors	3	5.1	5.1
Officers/Directors/Heads	15	25.4	25.4
Registrars	5	8.5	8.5
Vice Deans/Presidents	15	25.4	25.4
Others	3	5.1	5.1

Table 1: Position of Respondents in Their Colleges

### 2.2 The Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire was designed to gauge the opinions of high-ranking officials in private higher education colleges about accreditation in general and current practices in Ethiopia in particular.

It has three parts. Part one is meant for respondents to provide background information. Name of institution, date of establishment, area of training, programs currently offered, institutional status (i.e. whether accredited or not), and the position held by the respondent in the college. Part two presents 17 Likert type items regarding accreditation so that they can indicate their type and level of agreement to each item on a five point scale: Strongly agree (SA = 5), Agree (A = 4), Neutral (N = 3), disagree (O = 2), and strongly disagree (O = 1). Items focus on importance of accreditation and preaccreditation, procedures of accreditation, and accreditation practices by the MOE. The third part presents open-ended questions so that respondents can freely give their opinions about the strengths of practices, weaknesses of practices and recommendations

to improve.

Note that the summary of responses to items in the second part of the questionnaire are presented in Appendix 1 along with the mean and standard deviation of responses to each item and the correlation between the responses to the seventeen items to the age of the colleges and status of the colleges on accreditation

#### 2.3 Procedure of Analysis

The analysis is organized in such a way that the responses to items about general importance of accreditation and procedures are presented first. Then, opinions about current accreditation practices in Ethiopia are analyzed. The responses to open-ended items are presented at the end. For the purpose of simplicity, 'strongly agree' and 'agree' are put together. And the same is true with disagree and strongly disagree. Readers interested to know the separate responses are advised to refer to the summary Table of Appendix 1.

#### 2.4 Result and Discussion

The first important issue to contend within the then opinion of higher officials in private colleges about the importance of accreditation is summarized and presented in the Table below.

Table 2: Opinion of Respondents about the Importance of Accreditation (N = 59)

	n					the T	able		the Table								
i		Table 2	<u>,                                    </u>	: <i>Op</i>	ini	on of	Respond		ents d	a							
		he Im	po	rtanc	e	of Ac	cr	edita	tio	n(N =							
	Responses ment Neutral Disagreement Total Freq 9	Fre %	q	% M	ea	n	Sd	Rem	rk	4							
di	tation is one way of ensuring the quality and standards of an institution 54 92 3 5 2 4.20 .68 Agree 6 itation has	a s A	ign	if	ic	an	t	role	in t	he suc							
0	f an institution as people tend to join instit utions which are accredited 5 0 85 5 9 2 3 4.19 .74 A e 8 Diplomas awarded	by A	un	acc	red	ite	di	nstit	utio	ns shou ld							
e	simila	r effect a		-		awarded Disag	d by accre	dited inst	titution	ıs 7 12							

Total Responses to all of the three items tend to depict favorable attitude

towards accreditation. As it can be clearly seen on Table 2, respondents seem to favo the importance of accreditation in ensuring quality and standard (92%), which in turn is b elieved to account for the success of an institution (85%). A failure to meet accreditat i on requirements may mean awarding of diplomas having an effect different from the accreditation one (62%). A more focused issue in relatio n to accreditation has to do with the importance of pre-accreditation. Responses ar

1	Ī											
su	mm		arized on									
		4. <i>Tab</i>	le 4	: Opin		ion of Respon		dents		S		
		the I	тр	ortan	ce	of P	re	-Accr	edi	tation		
5	9) Responses nt Neutral Disagreement T otal Freq % % Freq % Mean Sd Rem	F a	2	Ι	ns	tit	uti	ons s	hou ld	first a		
re	pre-accreditation before em barking on the task of training individuals 32 54 5 9 22	.22	1.	42	N	e utr	al		Er fo	rcing		
С	reditat	ion procedures on new institutions discourages priv 25 43 9 15 22 38 3.21 1.36 Neutral							te init			

m

e

ilar responses to both items such that the proportion of those who endorse and fail to en dorse is comparable. Reponses on Table 4 show that there is a difference in opini on when pre-accreditation is the issue at stake, however. A comparable proportion of resp ents seem to endorse and fail to endorse the

use of are apprediction as the first hundle and the possible offeat that this may have

7	Accreditation should be enhancement than controlled oriented	53	90	2	3	3	5	4.30	0.78	Agre		
	However, the majority support the view that accreditation be enhancement oriented.											

Although respondents do widely differ in terms of implementing accreditation voluntarily or on will, the great majority support that it should be enhancement oriented.

There is in fact a surprisingly significant difference between respondents from accredited and unaccredited institutions particularly with respect to item 3. More respondents from accredited institutions seem to endorse that accreditation should be a voluntary process than being enforcement oriented (see the summary table on the appendix).

Coming to the accreditation practices in Ethiopia, respondents were asked to indicate their opinion about how the Ministry of Education is doing the job. To begin with standards, their opinions are summarized on Table 6.

Table 6: Opinions about the Standards of Accreditation Currently Employed by MOE

	S					marized on				
	um	6. <b>Tab</b>	le	6: Opi		nions about t		he Sta		
		of Ac	cr	editat	io	n Curr	en	tly E	mpl	oyed by
	Responses Agreement N l Disagre	/ em	ent	То	tal				F eq.	% Freq. %
q.	% Mean Sd Remark The accreditation standards are easy to achieve 8 14	1 15	25	34	58	3 2.	40	.92 Г	isagr	ee
e	standards me	asure the	e quality	y of edu	catio	n needed at th	e ter	tiary lev	el 24	41 18

16 27 3.10 1.05 Neutral Total remark It could measure quality but the stan ds are not easy to achieve. Differences in opinions being constant, responden ts seem more skeptical about the achievability of standards to validity of s

Table 7: Opinions about the Process and Procedures of Accreditation

						pro	cedures			
	d	credita	tio	n. <b>T</b>	abl	e 7:	Opinion		s abo	ou
		Proce	SS	an d	Pr	ocedi	u re	s of	Acc	redita
	ation. Table 7: Opini ons about the	Pro	ces	s a	nd	Pr	oce	dures	of A	ccred
0		n		Res	ponse	S		Agreem	ent Ne	eutral I
tal	Freq % Fre Mean Sd Remark 12 accreditation process ta kes long time and is b	2 ure	auc	rat	ic	41	70	11	19 7	2 4.0
Agr	ee See the correla tions of this item with bot h age of the colleges and sta	tus	wh	ic	h	are	si	gnifi	cant.	
		accred n enha				he years	s to come v	will be co	ontrolled	d oriente
27	22 37 18 31 3.10 1.0 0 Neutral 16 Institut hould be	re i	gul	arl	y s	upe	rvi	sed a	fter	being
accre	editation		-	, -	stituti	ons in th	10.4 Neu he append			the cor

Remark While the majority believes that the process is time consuming and bureaucr atic, a comparable proportion endorses and fails to endorse items 13, 16, and 10. The majority of the respondents has the opinion that the process of accreditation is long and time consuming. On the other hand, comparable proportion of respondents agree and disagree with future-orientation to be taken by MOE in accreditation, the need for regular supervision after granting accreditation, and the duration of renewal of accreditation. The contradiction observed here is that respondents seem to endorse that the renewal period is too short and at the same time endorse the belief that institutions should be regularly supervised after being granted accreditation. Another observation to be made on the same table (and on the summary table attached in the appendix) is that respondents from relatively older institutions and those from accredited institution stend to endorse that accr

editation is a long and bureaucratic process compared to their counter

r			st but equ							
ts		n impoi	rtan	t issue		is the respon		dents'		
	La	on ab	ou	t who	S	hould	d	o the	jo	b of a
i	ion and how. The respon	e (	Tah	le	8)	Ta	hle	8.	Onini	ons al

those who are from unaccredited institutions.

In the third part of the questionnaire, respondents were left in their own to figure out what they think are the strengths and weaknesses of the practices and ultimately suggest ways of overcoming the weaknesses.

Below is presented the summary of their responses to each of the three questions beginning with strengths. For convenience, attempts are made to present responses under different classes.

# 1. Strength

#### 1.1 General

- 2 Creates ground for cooperation
- 2 Makes the public aware of their rights
- 3 Encourages competition for excellence
- 4 recognizes that investors can contribute to this sector
- 5 provides statistical information about institutions
- 6 help get more qualified professionals
- 7 gives vast opportunity for people to learn

#### 1.2 Quality

- Ensures quality education
- Prepares institutions to give quality education, students get good education, and the country gets qualified professionals
- Awakens institutions to be up to the standard
- Regulates the quality of learning
- Gives proactive care in the management of the teaching-learning process
- Encourages quality
- Maintains standard and uniform education in different institutions
- Checks against the possibility of having disorganized higher institutions
- Appreciates the need to have accreditation

# 1.3 MOE Staff

- Consider current situations and make the process of accreditation easier.
- Willing to help institutions seeking accreditation
- Cooperative staff
- Cooperate to give information
- Small but cooperative staff
- Have involved PHEI in various forums
- Give renewal requests
- Make a visible cooperation
- No corruption
- Experienced and highly qualified staff

#### 1.4 Criteria/Standard

- Are of acceptable standard
- Set minimal requirement for fulfillment
- Set standards to start with
- Clear criteria
- Discourage money orientation
- Discourage those who are not determined to work in the sector
- Feasibility of the standard set by MOE
- Examine all major inputs before accreditation
- Promote private colleges
- Give moral to attendants
- Give confidence
- Help get more professionals
- Try to see all elements required for quality education

## 1.5 Procedure

- Allows time to iron out inadequacies
- Conduct formal and informal visits
- Quality and enhancement oriented than control in the coming year

### 1.6 Accountability

- At least it holds private colleges accountable to maintain a certain degree of quality of education
- Accountability

#### 1.7 Negative comments

- No strength
- Practically none
- None 1 can say
- Do not see any

#### 2. Weaknesses

- 1 In a free market economy and liberal practice, government should only interfere in major aspects like controlling the services & quality of education
- 2 MOE should not serve both as a regulator & competitor
- 3 Process too long
- 4 Accreditation made remain valid for a minimum of 5 years
- 5 Bureaucratic
- 6 Lacks continuous evaluation & supervision
- 7 Shortage of experienced personnel
- 8 The profile of academic staff is hard to meet
- 9 Book requirements are two stringent
- 10 Accreditation is a matter of joint concern both by the MOE and private & public colleges

#### 11 Delay

- Too short period
- o Shortage of staff to process the accreditation
- o Not enough staff to go to different parts of the regions for accreditation
- o Time is small
- Most students and government institutes and offices assume accreditation is the only standard that ensures quality education and employment opportunity
- Not well handled

- o Supervisory activity is weak
- o It is only for government interest or consumption
- Time taking
- o Do not include people from private institutes
- o They set enrollment limit while they should not
- o Renewal period is short
- Lack of self-evaluation
- Not independent institute
- o Scheme for accreditation in consultation with private institutes

# 3. Recommendations Suggested by Respondents to Overcome Weaknesses Observed

## 3.1 General Purpose and Approach

- Respondents commonly recommended that the purpose of accreditation should basically be that of an encouragement and facilitation rather than that of control so that beginning institutions can feel more confident, responsible, and accountable for what they do.
- O Appreciative of the MOE's effort so far in gauging quality education only with a very limited staff, respondents as yet recommended that the MOE shall preferably follow more flexible, democratic, and transparent procedures of accreditation. Others also recommended that MOE should not impose in any case. Let excellence and democratic values ride us.

#### 3.2 Who Should Do the Accreditation

It is recommended that alternative accreditation agency be established to take over the responsibility of accreditation. That is, the issue of accreditation needs to be a joint

venture forthcoming from different stakeholders. It was commonly indicated that it can't be left to the monopoly of either the state or the owners. It should rather involve credible citizens, private professionals, government bodies, and experts.

### 3.3 The Role of MOE

Respondents also recommended that MOE should conduct continuous assessment, supervision, and support. That is, it should assume partnership.

#### 3.4 Criteria of Assessment

The MOE should be less demanding in its expectation. Moreover, it should also evaluate institutions on the basis of their own experience rather than adhering to normative assessment every time. No matter how the assessment is conducted, it should focus on the process; not just on input and output

Appendix 1 Summary of Responses to the 17 Items

						Resp	onses					
S.N	Statements	SA	x =5	A	=4	N:	=3	D	)=2	SI	<b>)</b> =1	
		1		SD	=1						F	1
F	% F % F % 1. Accreditation is a necessary pr hould be enforced on every higher e	o duc	ati	on	ins	ti	tu	ti	on	26	4	4
1.	2594191 2. Institutions should first acquire pre- accreditation before embarking on the task of t	rai	nin	g i	ndi	vi	du	als	1	2	20	20
1.	40 .022050 3. Accreditation should be a voluntary proninstitution should involve in only wh		it	fe	els	t	he	ne	ed	11	19	8
.18	8 .332 pc.010 4. Accreditation is one way of ensuring the		f a	n i	nst	it	ut	io	n		18	31
Α .	68064 .002 5. Enforcing pre-accreditation procedures on new institutions discourages	-	vat	e i	nit	ia	tiv	es	14	2	4	1
1.	36011 .015 6. Accreditation has a significant role in t ss of an institution as people tend to join institutions	n whi	ch	are	ac	cr	ed	it	ed		20	3
.7	4011085 7. Accreditation should be enhancement-oriented n	ot	con	tro	l-o	ri	en	te	d		2	8
A	.78 .028 .192 8. Diplomas awarded by unaccredited institutions should have similar effect as those diplomas awarded by acc	ed r	it	ed	in	sti	tut	ion	S	1 2	6	10
D .	98 .202015 9. The accreditation standards currently so t by the Ministry of Education (MOE)	e a	r	e	eas	y t	o a	chi	eve		8	1
92	180061 10. The renewal time for accredited institut (i.e.3	i ye	ars	) i	s t	00	sho	rt	8	14	2	5
N 1.	11 .232 .232 11. Accreditation should be granted by an pendent organiza	i tio	n t	han	by	th	e M	OE	19	32	1	4
.22	232 0.45 12. The accreditation process currently in takes long time	p and	is	bu	rea	ucr	ati	С	16	2	7	25
.001	.528 pl.000 13. The accreditation process in the years to come will be control-oriented than e	nh	anc	eme	nt-	ori	ent	ed	6	10	1	0
N 1.	00 .143 .049 14. Institutions should be allowed to work out accreditati	on	if	th	ey	ch	00	se	to	8	14	21
N 1.	32 .052 .090 15. The accreditation process should inclu self-evaluation and evaluation by other institutions (i.e peer ev aluation) before the Ministry of Education inv	d olv	es	in	the	pr	oce	SS	13	2	2	25
.041	.270 pl.040 16. Institutions should be regularly supervis ed by MOE after they have been gr	ant	ed	acc	red	it	at	io	n	10	1	7
.261	pl.046 .157 17. The standards set for accreditation by M asure the quality of education needed a	Ot	th	e t	ert	iar	y l	eve	1 3	5	2	1

N 1.05 .051 -.010 Appendix 2 Sampled Colleges, their Status of Accreditation, Year of Establishment and Number of Completed and Retur

_						
	d Qu	estionnaires.	No.	Name of the College	Yestablishm	tus of Accred
				ear of	ent in EOC Sta	ion No. of c

11.	Microlink Information	1991	Accredited	6
	Technology College			
12.	New Generation University	1994	Not Accredited	4
13.	Nur Selam College	1993	Not Accredited	2
14.	Queens' College	1992	Accredited	2
15.	Royal College	1992	Accredited	4
16.	St. Mary's College	1991	Accredited	4
17.	Softnet College	1995	Not Accredited	1
18.	Unity University College	1991	Accredited	4
19.	Zega Business College	1993	Not Accredited	1
			Total	59

- Ramanujam, R. (1995) Reflections on Distance Education for India. New Delhi
- Tait, A., and Mills, R.,(1999) <u>The Convergence of Distance and Conventional Education</u>.

  Rutledge Studies in Distance Education.
- TGE (1994) Transitional Government of Ethiopia, <u>Education and Training Policy</u>. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- Tilson, T.and Getachew Kelemu (1998) "Decentralizing Distance Education: Challenges and Opportunities\_ the Experience of Ethiopia." Proceedings of the National Conference on Quality Education in Ethiopia: Vision for the 21st\_ Century", Awassa,12-18 July 169-182,IER,Addis Ababa.