THE INVESTIGATIVE ROLE OF PROSECUTOR AND POLICE UNDER ETHIOPIA LAW; COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

BY: - Tariku Wendimagegne

ADVISOR: - Zimmeta Beyene

Submitted in Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelors Degree of Law (LL.B) at the Faculty of Law, St. Mary's University College

ADDIS ABABA, ETHIOPIA JULY 2008

STATEMENT OF DECLARATION

I hear by declare that the paper is my original work, and I take full responsibility for any failure to observe the conventional rules of citation.

> Name: - Tariku W/magegne Signature:

Tables of Content

Pages
AcknowledgementI
Introduction II
Chapter One1
1. General overview1
1.1. Definition and purpose of investigation1
1.2. Historical background of investigation4
1.2.1. International context4
1.2.2. Ethiopia context5
1.3. The goal of criminal investigation
1.4. The role of investigator10
1.4.1. Gathering information11
1.4.2. Filed operation11
1.4.3. Case preparation12
1.4.4. Identifying the method of operation
1.5. Defining the police
1.6. Methods used for police investigation14
1.6.1. Pre arrest procedure14
A. summons14
B. Arrest15
I. with warrant15
II. Without warrant17
1.7. Defining public prosecutor17
1.8. The role of public prosecutor
Chapter Two20
2. The investigative role of prosecutor and police
(comparative analysis)
2.1. IN FRANCE
2.1.1. Investigative role
2.1.2. Power of public prosecutor to control judicial police21
I. Supervising and checking investigation
II. Co-ordinating the service
III. Choosing the service of inquiry
2.2. IN GERMAN

2.2.1. Investigative role	25
2.2.2. The function of police	
2.3. IN ETHIOPIA	
2.3.1. Investigative role	
2.3.2. Supervision of police	

Chapter Three	36
3. Problems perceived in the existing relation between the prosecution	
office and police department (under Ethiopia legal system)	36
3.1. Undefined relation	36
3.2. Uncertain order/instruction causing delay in the investigation process3	39
3.3. Solution as regards to the suspects and exhibit4	40
3.4. Periods for suspension of investigation4	42
3.5. Contradictory decision by the court/ prosecutor and police4	
Conclusion	45
Recommendation	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Generally, I thank to all my friends for their moral support in my campus life. However, there are some whom I can not pass with out mentioning their names.

I am grateful to Zimmeta Beyene, my advisor, for her constructive comments on the first draft of the paper.

I express gratitude to my interviewees Ato Eshtu weldesmayat head of prosecutor at federal high court and inspector Sefa Keder from Akaki Kaliti police department.

Last but not least, my sincere thanks and in expressible indebtedness are to Beruk Mekonnen and Merete Alemu who with unfailing patience produced this type script.

INTRODUCTION

Investigation is the process of selecting of offenders and manifestation of truth in a rational and systematic way supported by sufficient evidence. So it needs special attention, since any problem in investigation will disturb the society. Many countries try to minimize in general in order to protect the right of the suspects from the possible abuse of police and to speed up the process of investigation by granting the power to involve in investigation to the public prosecutors.

The main objective of this paper is to fully show the role of prosecutor and police in the investigation under Ethiopian law by comparing it with the experience of other legal systems. The focus is on two civil law countries i.e. France and Germany. In addition to this, to see the legal and practical problems concerning the investigation process in our legal system. The study has the objectives of analyzing the provision of criminal procedure code and identifying better alternative that is, for the issuance of a better law. In addition it has an objective to identify the problems related with the law themselves, that is the incomplete nature of the law, the gap left uncovered and propose for significant amendment of the existing law.

The researcher identifies and analyzes the problem surrounding the law and the practice and has recommended alternative solution. Therefore, this paper is believed to contribute the following. Firstly, to generate imputes which are to speed up the process of investigation and prosecution. Secondly, to supply imputes for the coming new criminal procedure code to the country with to strengthening the screening

Mechanism. Thirdly, to lay the ground for the prosecutor and police as to how the investigation process can be made between themselves and the last but not the least significant of this paper is it would be help full in addressing those areas and gaps that remain inadequately treated or untouched in depth.

With regard to row materials or inputs in attaining the objectives set out, literature, interviews, and experiences of other countries have been examined and used.

In this paper time is the major limiting function. The other limitation that faces during the undertaking of the research is lack of literature material in relation to the title. In addition, willingness of the concerned offices like ministerial offices likes ministry of justice, police department and prosecution office etc to give adequate document and information is another limitation of the study. Also lack of domestic reading material and research works on the area is another limitation.

The scope of this paper is encompasses role of prosecutor and police in the investigation process and problems perceived in the existing relation between prosecution office and police department in our legal system as well. With this objective in mind, this paper is divided in to three chapters. Chapter one deals with the general overview about definition of investigation, early criminal investigation, role of investigator and so on which might be help full to the next chapter. Furthermore this chapter is an introductory part of the paper which gives as general framework. Chapter

two, on other hand, by focusing the role of prosecutor and police in investigation in our legal system in comparison with other legal system

deeply and lastly, chapter three deals with problems perceived in the existing relation between the prosecution office and police department. Finally, there will be conclusion and recommendation based on the discussions made in the three chapters.